E570 analysis report

An estimation of the yield of KHeX La

Analysis for each drift chamber and each coincidence condition

Estimation of in-flight contamination ratio

Considering systematic errors

2008 Feb 6, Hideyuki Tatsuno



Normalized spectra of ID stopK

TC coin.

PAPB coin.



Difference between upstream and down stream



IDstopK TC upstream (id=0,1)



IDstopK TC downstream (id=3,4)

11

10

Ratio of in-flight contamination stop K definition = IDstopK > -1.0



Clearly see a z-position dependence of the ratio

Fit with a 2nd-order polynomial function

Subtract the contamination from reconstructed vertices

Use the corrected stopped-K distribution as input of a MC simulation for evaluating efficiencies of SDDs

For comparison : in-flight decayed events



To check the contribution of decayed events, the reacted events were removed from "in-flight events".

This is a "lower limit" of the ratio.



* in-flight reaction events were not included



* in-flight reaction events were not included



* in-flight reaction events were not included

All of results



All of results





PDC "up"





- 1. Think of the "up" results as a statistical fluctuation
- 2. Take into account the systematics at each z region

systematic errors (% of center values)

	VDC			PDC		
	"up"	"middle"	"down"	"up"	"middle"	"down"
in-flight ratio correction	±0.27%	±0.52%	±1.2%	±0.50%	±0.98%	±2.3%
counts of KHeX La*	±~3%	±~3%	±~3%	±~3%	±~3%	±~3%
in-flight reaction	-2.3%	-2.5%	-5.6%	-7.3%	-3.6%	-5.4%
total	+3.3/-5.6%	+3.5/-6.0%	+4.2/-9.8%	+3.5/-10.8%	+4.0/-7.6%	+5.3/-10.7%
cf. statistical error (cycle1)	±8.0%	±3.3%	±4.8%	±7.0%	±5.0%	±7.2%

* is described in next page

* systematics for number of x-ray counts

i) Fit functions (Compton, LE tail, pileup and shelf) \rightarrow ~2% uncertainty ii) Mylar thickness -> transmission rate \rightarrow negligible iii) Aluminized insulator thickness -> transmission rate \rightarrow negligible iv) Target density -> transmission rate \rightarrow negligible V) Relative position between target and SDD -> averaged efficiency of SDD vi) SDD's thickness -> averaged efficiency \rightarrow ~1% for KHeX La \rightarrow negligible

In total ~3%

How to include the systematic errors ?

 Fit statistical results and then add the largest systematic error

because the center values shift to same direction for all points (VDC and PDC; up, middle and down)

Combine statistic and systematic errors and then fit

$$\sigma_{\rm total} = \sqrt{\sigma_{\rm stat.}^2 + \sigma_{\rm syst.}^2}$$

this results in a smaller error due to weighted average

KHeX La

yield fit (stat. and syst. errors) χ^2 / ndf 30.26 / 11 0.1 Prob 0.001443 0.06767 ± 0.001577 *p0* 0.09 Yield (/NstopK) 200 80'0 0.06 0.05 VDC 1 VDC 2 PDC 1 PDC 2

$$\sigma_{\rm total} = \sqrt{\sigma_{\rm stat.}^2 + \sigma_{\rm syst.}^2}$$

yield = 6.8 ± 0.2 %

this method decreases the systematic error due to weighted average

Result

KHeX La yield = 7.1 ± 0.1(stat.) +0.3/-0.8 (syst.) %

The most dominant term of the systematic error is the uncertainty of correction of the "in-flight reaction"

But this is an over estimation for the lower side error, because of the bold assumption of removing all in-flight reacted events when calculating the contamination ratio.

The systematic error of x-ray counts is about a half of the "in-flight reaction" one.

Summary

I tried the analysis, each drift chamber and each coincidence condition (TC / PAPB), to evaluate the x-ray yield per number of stopped kaons.

The in-flight contamination ratio was calculated by a MC simulation including the coincidence condition.

The corrected stopped-K distribution was used for calculating SDD's efficiency.

Results of three target region ("up, middle and down") have individual statistic and systematic errors.

Conservatively added the systematic errors.

The result of KHeX La is 7.1 ± 0.1(stat.) +0.3/-0.8 (syst.) %