J-PARC P73:

$^{3}_{\Lambda}$H mesonic weak decay lifetime measurement with ^{3}He$(K^{-}, \pi^{0})^{3}_{\Lambda}$H reaction

Status report for T77 as a feasibility study for P73

^{4}He (K^{-}, π^{0}) $^{4}_{\Lambda}$H reaction @ 1GeV/c, 3days × 50kW

y.ma@riken.jp

2020/07/21

Outline

- Introduction to J-PARC P73:
  - The first direct measurement for ${}^{3}_{\Lambda}\mathrm{H}$ lifetime
- Feasibility study for P73:
  - T77 experiment
- Summary & beam time request

Introduction: motivation

As the lightest hypernucleus, ${}^{3}_{\Lambda}$H should tell us some important fact of YN interactions just as deuteron for nuclear physics.

Up to a few years ago, we believe:
$\tau \approx 263$ ps ($B_\Lambda = 130 \pm 50$ keV);
However, heavy ion experiments suggest $\tau \approx 180$ ps…

Hypertriton lifetime puzzle challenges the very foundation of our knowledge for hypernucleus.

Collaboration	Experimental method	${}^{3}_{\Lambda}$H lifetime [ps]	Release date
ALICE	Pb collider	240^{+40}_{-31}(stat.)±18(syst.)	2019
STAR	Au collider	142^{+24}_{-21}(stat.)±29(syst.)	2018
HypHI	fixed target	183^{+42}_{-32}(stat.)±37(syst.)	2013



Neither fish nor fowl?

Picture taken from MM. Block et al. Proc. Int. Conf. Hyperfragments, 1963

Heavy ion results vs direct lifetime measurement





Heavy ion results:

- Convert decay length to lifetime ($t = L/\beta\gamma c$);
- Statistics concentrate in the first few bins.

Direct lifetime measurement:

- Lifetime convoluted with time resolution;
- Relatively wide fitting range.

L. Adamczyk et al., Phys. Rev. C, 97, 054909, (2018)
H. Outa, *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. A 547, (1992), 109c-114c

P73 Experimental setup



The idea of ***direct measurement***: $T_{CDH}-T_0=t_{beam}+t_{\pi^-}+\tau$;

1. A complementary measurement for Heavy Ion results
2. Achievable precision: $\sigma/\sqrt{N} \sim 30ps$

T77 experiment: background study for P73



PbF2 calorimeter was installed INTO the beam line

6G Kaon- shoot on He4 target, ~3days, in June 2020



Identical with P73 experimental setup except the target material:

P73: ^{3}He, T77: ^{4}He

$^4_\Lambda$H has ~6 times higher S/N than $^3_\Lambda$H

($^4_\Lambda$H/$^3_\Lambda$H ~ 3 × B.R. 2)

T77 results: pi- spectrum from ${}^{4}_{\Lambda}$H



With ***only 3days*** beam time, we successfully observed ***~1.2k*** ***${}^{4}_{\Lambda}$H-->^{4}He+pi- events;***

Effectively suppressed hadronic prompt reaction.

$$K^- + {}^4He \rightarrow {}^4_\Lambda H + \pi^0$$

slows down inside ⁴He target and decays at rest

$${}^4_\Lambda H \rightarrow {}^4He + \pi^- \sim 133 MeV/c$$



T77 results: pi- spectrum from ${}^{4}_{\Lambda}$H

$$K^- + {}^4He \rightarrow {}^4_\Lambda H + \pi^0$$





- T77 refreshes world record for ${}^{4}_{\Lambda}$H statistics by twice;
- New method improves S/N by ~ 10 times;
- ***All these happen within 3days of beam time!***

H. Outa, *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. A 547, (1992), 109c-114c

T77 results: ${}^{4}_{\Lambda}$H lifetime measurement





- T77 ${}^{4}_{\Lambda}$H lifetime fitting: σ < 10ps (statistical only)
  - ***preliminary data analysis (T77 finished on June 26th)***
- KEK data with stopped K-: $\tau \sim 194^{+24}_{-26}$ ps
- ***Improve the precision by a factor of ~3 (3days beam time!)***

Estimation for ${}^{3}_{\Lambda}$H yield based on T77



${}^{3}_{\Lambda}$H/${}^{4}_{\Lambda}$H ~ 1/4
with (e, e'K+) reaction at JLab



Expected pi- spectrum from ³He target
(${}^{3}_{\Lambda}$H/${}^{4}_{\Lambda}$H ~ 1/3 assumption)

- JLab data shows ${}^{3}_{\Lambda}$H/${}^{4}_{\Lambda}$H ~ 1/4 for (e, e'K+) channel; we estimate ${}^{3}_{\Lambda}$H/${}^{4}_{\Lambda}$H ~ 1/3 for (K-, pi0) channel (thanks for Prof. Harada);
- ***With a well known background from T77***, we need ***5days × 70kW*** beam time to measure the ${}^{3}_{\Lambda}$H production cross section

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.242501

Statistical significance vs beam time



- The significance of $^{3}_{\Lambda}$H yield (S/√(S+N)) is studied with various $^{3}_{\Lambda}$H/$^{4}_{\Lambda}$H cross section ratio;
- To achieve statistical meaningful results for ^{3}He(K-,pi0)$^{3}_{\Lambda}$H, 5days × 70kW beam time is necessary

Staging strategy for P73

Staging:	Stage-0	Stage-1	Stage-2
Task:	Background study for $^{3}_{\Lambda}$H	First measurement for ^{3}He(K-, pi0)$^{3}_{\Lambda}$H reaction	Direct lifetime measurement for $^{3}_{\Lambda}$H
Output:	Established a new method as: (K-,pi0) + decay spectrum	Production cross section study for $^{3}_{\Lambda}$H @ 1GeV/c	Pin down Hypertriton lifetime puzzle
Status:	Cleared by T77 experiment	Applied for Stage-1 approval in this talk	Depends on Stage-1 results

Requests for J-PARC Hadron Facility

- After successfully carried out Stage-0 study as T77, we formally apply for stage-1 approval
  - P73 --> E73
- Beam time request:
  - 5days × 70kW (350kW*day) beam time with liquid He3 target to study the Hypertriton production cross section
- Beam time allocation:
  - P73 will be fully ready to run by December, 2020
  - We request beam time BEFORE long shut down of 2021 to establish the J-PARC contribution for Hypertriton lifetime measurement before the GSI-WASA data release

Summary

- We have successfully performed J-PARC T77 to study the feasibility of P73
  - With only 3days beam time, we refreshed the world record of ${}^{4}_{\Lambda}$H statistics for its lifetime measurement
    - a new and effective way to study hypernucleus is established
- ***A direct measurement for hypertriton lifetime proposed in P73 has been proved to be feasible and promising by T77***

Backup

P73 proposal status

- First version submitted to 26th PAC --> more details should be provided based on simulation
- Revised proposal submitted to 27th PAC --> need to clarify systematic error
- Systematic error explained in 28th PAC by F. Sakuma --> PAC suggests us to carry out feasibility study with He4 target
- Feasibility study with He4 is proposed as P77 --> ***29th PAC approved our T77 proposal --> our presentation today & apply for Stage-1 approval***

Introduction: motivation

As the lightest hypernucleus, ${}^{3}_{\Lambda}\mathrm{H}$ should tell us some important fact of YN interactions just as deuteron for nuclear physics.



Up to a few years ago, we believe:
$\tau \approx 263$ ps ($B_\Lambda = 130 \pm 50$ keV).

decay probability:
kinematics× | transition matrix | ^{2}
~ phase space×wave function overlap

a small term
(separation of ~10fm)

A well separated wave function between **Λ** and deuteron implies small modification of ${}^{3}_{\Lambda}\mathrm{H}$ lifetime from deuteron and, thus, its lifetime should be presumably determined by free **Λ** decay.

PbF2 calorimeter performance





5%/√E resolution measured with positron beam, 2019

- PbF2 calorimeter is installed ***INTO*** the meson beam line to tag fast pi0;
- All segments of PbF2 calorimeter works well with reasonable resolution even in high rate conditions.



pi-/K- beam signal on PbF_2 calorimeter

electrons mixed in beam line (can be used for calibration)

CDS tracking performance



- CDS tracking system works well;
- ~2% momentum resolution for ~100MeV/c pi- signals;
- Further improvement can be expected by employing energy loss correction (in progress)

Estimation for ${}^{3}_{\Lambda}$H yield based on T77

Target	^{4}He	^{3}He	
Density	2.17E+23 / cm^2	1.42E+23 / cm^2	
Beam time	3days × 50kW	5days × 70kW	
two-body pi- decay B. R.	50%	25%	
Relative cross section	1 A. U.	1/3 A. U. (educated guess)	1/4 A. U. (JLab data)
pi- signal yield	~1.2k	~300	~200

${}^{3}_{\Lambda}$H yield needs to be measured in Stage-1 experiment, helping to determine the beam time for lifetime measurement.

Physics Motivation

- Recent heavy-ion experiments reported different lifetime of **hyper-triton, $^{3}_{\Lambda}$H**:

STAR (2018)	ALICE (2018)	free Λ
$142^{+24}_{-21} \pm 29$ ps	$237^{+33}_{-36} \pm 17$ ps	263 ± 2 ps

- $\tau(^{3}_{\Lambda}\mathrm{H}) \sim \tau(\text{free } \Lambda)$ is naively expected, because $^{3}_{\Lambda}$H is known to be very loosely bound system (~0.13MeV)



→ need to clarify the situation using different experimental technique

${}^{4}_{\Lambda}$H Lifetime @ KEK

- ^{4}He(stopped K^{-}, π^{-})${}^{4}_{\Lambda}$H reaction
- The lifetime was obtained from a fitting with a simulated spectrum



Sideband BG subtraction & fitting with MC spectrum



H. Outa, D-thesis

${}^{4}_{\Lambda}$H lifetime



For cross-check, we will apply the same procedure to the ${}^{3}_{\Lambda}$H analysis.

Time Zero Alignment Estimation with the E15 Data



Dr. Yamaga, RIKEN

Time difference between measured and calculated TOF(T0-CDH) for π^- @ E15



- E15-2nd data (Run65, ^{3}He(K^-,π^-)X)
  - Time zero can be determined **within 5 ps**
- Error propagated from the time zero alignment is estimated to be **<5 ps** with MC simulation

Acknowledgement

- Support from ELPH staff Prof. T. Ishikawa
- Financial support from Prof. M. Iwasaki and great help from many other collaborators
- In particular, our brilliant main collaborators:
  - ***T. Akaishi (PhD student), T. Yamaga (Postdoc)***
  - ***T. Hashimoto, F. Sakuma (co-spokesperson)***

P73/T77 collaborator list

T. Akaishi[1], H. Asano[10], X. Chen[4], A. Clozza[6], C. Curceanu[6], R. Del Grande[6], C. Guaraldo[6], C. Han[4,10], T. Hashimoto[3], M. Iliescu[6], K. Inoue[1], S. Ishimoto[2], K. Itahashi[10], M. Iwasaki[10], Y. Ma[10], M. Miliucci[6], H. Noumi[1], H. Ohnishi[9], S. Okada[10], H. Outa[10], K. Piscicchia[6,8], F. Sakuma[10], M. Sato[2], A. Scordo[6], D. Sirghi[6,7], F. Sirghi[6,7], K. Shirotori[1], S. Suzuki[2], K. Tanida[3], T. Yamaga[10], X. Yuan[4], P. Zhang[4], Y. Zhang[4], H. Zhang[5]

[1]Osaka University, Osaka, 560-0043, Japan
[2]High Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK), Tsukuba, 305-0801, Japan
[3]Japan Atomic Energy Agency, Ibaraki 319-1195, Japan
[4]Institute of Modern Physics, Gansu 730000, China
[5]School of Nuclear Science and Technology, Lanzhou University, Gansu 730000, China
[6]Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati dell' INFN, I-00044 Frascati, Italy
[7]Horia Hulubei National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering (IFIN-HH), Magurele, Romania
[8]CENTRO FERMI - Museo Storico della Fisica e Centro Studi e Ricerche "Enrico Fermi", 00184 Rome, Italy
[9]Tohoku University, Miyagi, 982-0826, Japan
[10]RIKEN, Wako, 351-0198, Japan